

## Ethics and Malpractice Statement

The publishers and editorial board of *Technology and Innovation* have adopted the publication ethics and malpractice statements of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (<http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>). These guidelines highlight what is expected of authors and what they can expect from the reviewers and editorial board in return. They also provide details of how problems will be handled. Briefly:

**Author Responsibilities:** Authors listed on a manuscript must have made a significant contribution to the study and/or writing of the manuscript. During revisions, authors cannot be removed without their permission and that of the other authors. All authors must also agree to the addition of new authors. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to ensure that this occurs.

Financial support and conflicts of interest for all authors must be declared. Further information on this can be obtained from the International Committee for Medical Journal Editors (<http://www.icmje.org/>).

The reported research must be novel and authentic and the authors should confirm that the same data has not been and is not going to be submitted to another journal (unless already rejected). Statements made in the introduction and discussion should be supported by appropriate references and sufficient experimental detail should be provided to allow for repetition of the study by another group. Plagiarism of the text/data will not be tolerated and could result in retraction of an accepted article. Any text or figures reproduced for another source require the permission for the original copyright holders (normally the publishers).

Any manipulation of figures should be equally applied and described in the text (including pseudocoloring) and must not change the meaning of the figure.

When humans, animals or tissue derived from them have been used, then mention of the appropriate ethical approval must be included in the manuscript.

**Reviewer responsibilities:** Reviewers are expected to not possess any conflicts of interest with the authors and research. They should review the science objectively and provide recommendations for improvements where necessary. When aware of relevant published work not being cited, the reviewers should recommend inclusion of these references. If the reviewer feels that they would be unable to repeat the study as described, then additional methodological details should be requested. Any unpublished information read by a reviewer should be treated as confidential.

Editorial responsibilities: The section editors are expected to select an appropriate number of reviewers for the manuscript so that they can make an informed decision about whether to reject/accept a manuscript. Their decision must be based only on the paper's importance, originality, clarity and whether it is suitable for the journal. They must not have a conflict of interest with the authors or work described. The anonymity of the reviewers must be maintained.

Should problems come to light after acceptance then the editors agree to promote the publication of corrections and/or retractions as deemed necessary.

Publishing responsibilities: The publishers agree to ensure that to the best of their abilities, the information that they publish is genuine and ethically sound. If publishing ethics issues come to light, not limited to accusations of fraudulent data or plagiarism, during or after the publication process, they will be investigated by the editorial board including contact with the author's institutions if necessary, so that a decision on the appropriate corrections, clarifications, or retractions can be made. The publishers agree to publish this as necessary so as to maintain the integrity of the academic record.

## **Protection for Research Participants**

These policies are in accordance with the recommendations of The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE)

### Humans

1. If experiments or research reported in the article involve human subjects, the authors must indicate if their procedures were approved by an Institutional Review Board, ethics committee, or similar regulatory oversight committee. If a review board or committee are not available, the authors should indicate that their procedures are in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration as revised in 2013.
2. Manuscripts must be accompanied by a statement that the informed consent of research participants was obtained prior to participation or that documentation of informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board, ethics committee, or similar regulatory oversight committee.
3. If images or other identifying information is included in the manuscript, explicit written informed consent of the individual/patient must be obtained and included with your submission. Measures to protect the confidentiality of the individual(s) should also be employed. If consent cannot be obtained, you are encouraged to contact the editor for further guidance.

## Animals

1. If experiments or research reported in the article involve animals, the authors must indicate if their procedures were performed in accordance with the U.S. Public Health Service's (PHS) Policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by appropriate institutional review committee(s).

Editors reserve the right to reject manuscripts if there is doubt that appropriate ethical standards have not been met in research involving human and animal subjects or if there is reason to suspect research misconduct.

## Open Access Policy

To help authors reach maximum exposure for manuscripts published in *Technology and Innovation*, T&I utilizes Open Access publishing. Fees are billed when a manuscript is accepted for publication.

Open Access Fees:

\$1000: regular submissions

Dues-paying NAI Fellows will receive a 20% discount on Open Access charges.

If you are interested in publishing with T&I but believe you will be unable to meet the Open Access fees, please contact [tjournal@academyofinventors.org](mailto:tjournal@academyofinventors.org)

## T&I and NIH Public Access Policy

According to the NIH Public Access Policy, authors are required to make NIH-funded research results publicly available and to deposit resulting manuscripts in PubMed Central.

*Technology and Innovation* provides manuscript deposition services to authors who choose this option during the submission process. To opt-in to this service, authors must provide any relevant funding information as part of their submission to T&I. Manuscripts will be submitted after final print publication in T&I and will link back to [Ingenta Connect's access page](#).

For authors who wish to deposit manuscripts themselves, please allow a release date of six months after print publication in T&I. Please note, some journals have 12-month embargo periods.

## **Author Use Policy**

After publication, authors may post the accepted version of the paper on the author's personal website, provided that a link is included to the final version published in *Technology and Innovation*.

Full-text articles are accessible through *Technology and Innovation's* [Ingenta Connect page](#).

## **NAI Fellows Submission Policy**

NAI Fellows can participate in *Technology and Innovation* in two ways: 1) By contributing their own submissions and 2) By serving as designated editors for the submissions of other scholars.

### **NAI Fellow-Contributed Submissions**

NAI Fellows may submit up to three personal manuscripts for publication in T&I each year following these guidelines: 1) The work must be wholly or substantially conceived and carried out by the Fellow, 2) The subject of the submission should fall within the Fellow's field of expertise, and 3) the Fellow must submit the comments of at least two qualified, non-conflicted reviewers with the final manuscript. T&I review forms can be obtained from [tijournal@academyofinventors.org](mailto:tijournal@academyofinventors.org).

NAI Fellow-Contributed Submissions are evaluated by the editorial team, and the Editors will make all final decisions on the acceptance of manuscripts.

### **NAI Fellow-Edited Submissions**

Prior to submission to T&I, an author may ask an NAI Fellow to oversee the review process of a manuscript submission following these guidelines: 1) The corresponding author must secure the agreement of a non-conflicted NAI Fellow before submitting an article to T&I, 2) The corresponding author should confirm the Fellow's availability to review the submission during the given time period, 3) The Fellow must provide substantive review comments to T&I regarding the submission, and 4) The corresponding author must submit the revised manuscript to T&I. In the event that the Fellow designated by the author is unable to edit the manuscript, the Editors may decide to select another Editor to handle the submission review process.

In addition, a non-conflicted Fellow can choose to oversee the submission, review, and acceptance process for a particular publication written by another scholar following these guidelines: 1) The Fellow is responsible for receiving the initial submission and sending it out for peer review, 2) The Fellow must obtain the comments of at least two qualified, non-conflicted reviewers, 3) The Fellow must assure that the manuscript has been revised in accordance with peer review commentary, and 4) The Fellow must submit the final manuscript and supporting documentation to T&I.

NAI Fellow-Edited Submissions are evaluated by the editorial team, and the Editors will make all final decisions on the acceptance of manuscripts.

NAI Fellow-Edited Submissions are published with a disclosure indicating the type of submission and respective NAI Fellow. A Fellow may oversee reviews for up to four manuscripts each year.

N.B. The standard submission process does not require authors to designate NAI Fellows for submission.

### **Note on Manuscript Decisions**

The Editors will make all final decisions on the acceptance of manuscripts. For manuscripts accepted pending revisions, revised manuscripts must be received within three months of the decision notification. If not received within three months, they will be treated as new submissions.

If you have any questions, please contact Assistant Editor Dr. Kimberly Macuare at [tijournal@academyofinventors.org](mailto:tijournal@academyofinventors.org) or (813) 974-1347.